# **Public Document Pack**

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

**Department for Corporate Services** 

John Williams - Head of Legal & Democratic Services
Our ref: Rh/Council Telephone: 01702 215000
Your ref: Fax: 01702 215994

Date: E-mail: committeesection@southend.gov.uk

Contact Name: Robert Harris DX 2812 Southend



**Dear Councillor** 

#### THE COUNCIL - THURSDAY, 20TH OCTOBER, 2016

Please find enclosed, for consideration at the next meeting of the The Council taking place on Thursday, 20th October, 2016, the following report(s) that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

#### Agenda No Item

6. Questions from Members of the Public (Pages 1 - 4)

Questions and responses attached

7. Questions from Members of the Council (Pages 5 - 10)

Questions and responses attached

Yours faithfully

Robert Harris Committee Officer Legal & Democratic Services







#### QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

## Council Meeting – 20th October 2016

# Question 1 from Mr Garne to the Executive Councillor for Health and Adult Social Care

### **Question**

What actions, in cooperation with other relevant agencies, is the Administration undertaking to maintain and enhance the provision of primary healthcare in Shoeburyness and West Shoebury?

### **Answer**

The responsibility for the commissioning of primary care in Shoeburyness and West Shoebury rests with NHS England. From 1<sup>st</sup> April 2016, NHS Southend Clinical Commissioning Group assumed greater powers to jointly commission primary care services with NHS England.

The Council's Director of Public Health and the joint Associate Director of Integrated Commissioning are both members of the NHS Southend Clinical Commissioning Group Governing Body and the Clinical Executive Committee, and are able to input into discussions and decision making about the various aspects of primary care across the whole of the borough.

The development of a Shoeburyness Primary Care Centre is key part of Southend Clinical Commissioning Group's strategic vision for primary care in the east of the borough and locality model of service delivery. Both the Director of Public Health and the joint Associate Director of Integrated Commissioning have been involved from the outset with this project. In addition the Ward councillors and other council officers meet regularly with the Clinical Commissioning Group and local residents as part of a Shoeburyness Primary Care Centre task and finish group.

# **Question 2 from Mr Webb to the Executive Councillor for Transport, Waste and Cleansing**

# **Question**

I asked this question through an email to Southend Council at the beginning of September and have had no reply. Due to the increase drinking outside Westcliff library, smoking and general litter increased since July 2016 to present day. Who is responsible to keep the area outside Westcliff library clean by the benches and in the bushes and how often are they cleaned?

### **Answer**

The area immediately outside the library is the responsibility of the Council and is cleared regularly by contractors and additionally on instruction by the Library team. Unfortunately despite these cleansing arrangements, as quickly as it is cleared, it quickly attracts more litter which is blown along London Rd.

Volunteers from the Council initiative 'Make Southend Sparkle' have also been involved in cleaning the area recently. Local community groups are taking an active interest in the area and the Council is supporting them on this.

# **Question 3 from Mr Webb to the Executive Councillor for Transport, Waste and Cleansing**

### Question

When someone puts a planning application to change of use, house into flats and builds a drive way. Why can there not be a clause in the planning to put a drop kerb onto the driveway prior to the occupation as many in Westborough have built a driveway but not applied for a drop kerb and council tax money is repeated being used to fix kerbs where the vehicles bump over it to go onto their own driveway?

# <u>Answer</u>

In cases where a planning permission is required to develop a site for flats, the Council can impose a condition on the grant of planning permission which requires suitable arrangements for access and parking to be provided. Where hard standings are installed in dwelling houses they may not require planning. If permission is not required it is not possible to impose a planning condition.

Separate to the need for planning permission permanent vehicle crossovers (known as PVX's) require the consent of the Council as the Highway Authority - this is distinct from and separate to planning permission. The Council has a policy and application process for PVX's.

# <u>Question 4 from Mr Miller to the Executive Councillor for Housing,</u> <u>Planning and Public Protection Services</u>

Are Council members satisfied that the technical work on parking capacity is adequate, given the serious concerns already raised by seafront traders? It is important to be satisfied that this is right because it will have far-reaching consequences on the future of the seafront as a tourism destination and seafront traders have raised serious flaws in the technical work undertaken.

### **Answer**

The parking survey work was undertaken by Steer Davies Gleave, a nationally respected company specialising in this aspect of research and policy development. The report on parking is informed by actual survey data (surveys using video cameras). These surveys were carried out on the 13th and 15th August 2015 and the 23rd, 25th and 26th March 2016 and the 30th May 2016. Steer Davies Gleave are confident their research is robust and the data is perfectly adequate to inform the preparation of the SCAAP.

# **Question 5 from Mr Miller to the Executive Councillor for Housing, Planning and Public Protection Services**

Are Council members satisfied that the proposed policies are going to be effective in ensuring that the seafront remains an attractive destination for visitors, as they would seem to allow for a reduction in accessible spaces in favour of comparatively remote spaces that may not be attractive to visitors of the town, and do not appear to take into account the trip generation of the developments proposed on the car parks?

### **Answer**

It is not correct to say that the policies in the SCAAP would allow the reduction in accessible spaces. The relevant section of the SCAAP says this:

There are currently around 2,600 publicly available car parking spaces south of the railway line serving the seafront and southern parts of Southend Central Area. As a result of the peak capacity issues, as identified by the Parking Study, and to support the vitality and viability of the central seafront area, it is expected that there will be no net loss of public car parking south of the railway line, and where viable and feasible, the Council will seek further provision in association with development (pages 44/45).



#### QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

## Council Meeting - 20th October 2016

# Question from Councillor Robinson to the Executive Councillor for Housing, Planning and Public Protection Services

### Question

If residents fail to take remedial action to clear Japanese knotweed from premises what scope does the council have to take action under the anti-social behaviour legislation or species control orders?

# **Answer**

Whilst it is not illegal to have Japanese Knotweed in your garden, owners have a legal responsibility to take control of the weed so as to restrict its spread into other residents' gardens. Any resident finding the weed in their garden will need to take action to ensure they are suitably controlling the weed.

Under the Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014, local authorities can issue land owners with a Community Protection Notice to formally require them to control the spread of Japanese Knotweed on their land. However, we would only consider this action where residents are taking no action, and as a result of this, is causing knotweed to significantly spread onto neighbouring land.

# **Question from Councillor Kenyon to the Executive Councillor for Culture, Tourism and the Economy**

Can the Portfolio Holder give the Members assurance that action will be taken to prevent the appalling mess left by visitors to our seaside as demonstrated over the weekend of 6<sup>th</sup> & 7<sup>th</sup> August?

# <u>Answer</u>

There were several images posted on social media and in the Southend Echo showing the aftermath of litter left following a very busy day on Jubilee Beach. The inference was that this was entirely caused by a particular group. In fact the fantastic weather encouraged many thousands of visitors to the seafront. When numbers are this high it is not possible for cleansing staff to pick litter between people on the beach and so the clear up is undertaken at the end of the day. The pictures shown depicted the mess but not the great look of the beach after both

our cleansing contractor and the event organiser had cleared the beach of rubbish.

Our officers will continue to provide waste guidance to organisers bringing groups in this way and in some circumstances seek a financial bond. However the organiser on this occasion was picking litter until late into the evening, after the photograph was taken.

# <u>Question from Councillor McDonald to the Executive Councillor for Culture, Tourism and the Economy</u>

Kieren Reed has contacted local people regarding a sculpture he has been commissioned to create by Focal Point and Southend Borough Council at Shoebury Park. Could the portfolio holder explain the process that was used to select this artist for this project, including the allocation of funding?

### **Answer**

Two artists were selected using a standard commissioning model of creating a long list of ten artists, of which four were selected for interview by a panel of Council Members from Shoebury Wards, the portfolio holder, curatorial staff and Southend Council officers. The allocation of funding is part of the value for money assessment in such processes.

Two artists were selected to take the commission forward and a timeline, which puts the launch of the project as Spring/Summer 2017 is being worked towards.

The artists presented their plans for the work to Cllrs Holland, Assenheim, Hadley, Moyies and Jarvis earlier today.

# Question from Councillor Aylen to the Leader of the Council

Following what was thought to be a spoof item in Southend news network about an atomic style bomb being on the Montgomery that is sitting in the Thames not far off Southend. There has been concern that there could be some plausible truth in the story.

Could the cabinet holder responsible for public health and safety basically tell me not to ask such a stupid question and put people's minds at rest?

### **Answer**

I can confirm that the cargo manifest for the SS Richard Montgomery specifies the types of munitions that were loaded on board and where they were loaded, and the daily reports of the stevedores who salvaged the vessel report what was unloaded. There is absolutely no suggestion of anything like atomic weapons on board.

Added to this, my understanding of the history of the development of atomic (nuclear) weapons suggests that no such weapon even existed at the time that the SS Richard Montgomery sank – the first testing of a nuclear weapon not taking place until nearly a year after the Montgomery sank.

# Question from Councillor Aylen to the Executive Councillor for Culture, Tourism & the Economy

## Question

On Sunday 2<sup>nd</sup> October from 7.30 pm the press reported 600 extra cars came to Southend.

Assuming an average of 3 people per car which is around 1800 visitors. To bring this into some sort of context, the London to Southend classic car run that was the second biggest event in Southend year to the air show attracted 327 cars and a bus.

Using the classic car run as a reference and allowing for the Sunday to being off season, could a cabinet holder make a guess of how much it would cost in advertising etc. to attract 1800 visitors on that evening?

# <u>Answer</u>

Advertising costs vary considerably, however increasingly social media is used to promote free events which carry very little additional costs over existing staff resources.

# **Question from Councillor Terry to the Leader of the Council**

It has come to my attention that the grant usually given to the Southend Ethnic Minority Forum has been cancelled completely this year. It is generally accepted and understood that this group does a lot of very good work within our diverse and ethnic communities that would otherwise need to be undertaken by Southend Borough Council itself, thereby saving the Council money it would otherwise have to spend.

Can the Leader of the Council explain the following: why was this Grant cancelled, does he agree with the cancellation of this grant and finally can he give Members an assurance that this matter will be looked at again?

### **Answer**

The funding that you refer to is the Council's In-Year Grants programme, an annual pot of money which provides small amounts of funding to local voluntary or community organisations. These grants are used to pump-prime new groups, help existing groups manage emergencies and support special events that encourage community well-being and cohesion. Groups can bid for sums up to £2,000 from a total annual pot of £25,000.

I agree that the Southend Ethnic Minority Forum does indeed do good work for our community, and we have recognised this by supporting them with a number of small grants since 2011. However it's important to remember that the programme is a competitive one, with bidders proposing projects each year and the Council deciding which of these will have the best impact for the borough. Because this is a competitive, and annual, process groups do not have an automatic right to continuous funding and should not plan on this basis.

Indeed, this year the level of competition was particularly high, with 26 bids totaling over £50,000, all vying for a total pot, as I have said, of just £25,000. Grant decisions are made by the Chair of the Grants Strategy Working Party, Cllr Moring, who takes into account officer recommendations and local need. The application made by Southend Ethnic Minority Forum earlier this year was unsuccessful for two reasons:

- the group accounts showed that they already hold sufficient funding reserves to pay for the activity that they were proposing, and;
- 2. the fund's main purpose is to support new start-ups and fresh projects rather than continuing to pay for ongoing activity.

Having looked into this I believe that our reasoning is sound and fully agree with the decision that was made. I would like to stress that this decision in no way reflects on the work done by Southend Ethnic

Minority Forum. Rather, it highlights the high quality and quantity of bids received from many other local, deserving groups. It is worth noting that support is available from Southend's Council for Voluntary Service, SAVS, who we commission to help organisations such as the Ethnic Minority Forum find alternative funding streams.

Finally, I'd like to reassure Cllr Terry that the In-Year Grants Programme continues to support our diverse and ethnic community groups.

# **Question from Councillor Ayling to the Executive Councillor for Housing, Planning and Public Protection Services**

The Council received a planning application proposing to demolish the historic Britannia public house on the seafront, a building possibly dating back to 1790. However, it would appear from a recent article in the Southend Echo that the application has now been withdrawn. Does the Council have the capacity and a specialist officer to be able to provide advice on planning applications with conservation implications and, if not, what plans does this Administration have to deal with the matter?

# **Answer**

The Council employs a Design & Conservation Officer, who has a planning qualification with a specialism in conservation. This officer provides specialist conservation and heritage advice to the Council. Where necessary, officers also liaise with specialists at Historic England (formerly English Heritage) and have a close working relationship with relevant staff there.

With respect to the planning application for the Britannia Public House the application has received extensive advice and comments from the Councils Design & Conservation Officer. I can confirm that the applicant has now withdrawn the application.

# **Question from Councillor Terry to the Leader of the Council**

# **Question**

In the forthcoming weeks and months the Holocaust Memorial Day Working Party will be meeting and organising the next memorial event to ensure we are all reminded of various atrocities committed by humanity, ensuring we do all we can to stop these evil ideas and thoughts ever being allowed to exist in our midst.

Does the Leader of the Council support the aims, objectives and ethos of the Holocaust Memorial Day Working Party at Southend Borough Council?

### **Answer**

I fully support the aims, objectives and ethos of the Holocaust Memorial Day Working Party and agree entirely that we must do all we can to prevent any recurrence.

Councillor Moring has already chaired a meeting of this working party on 5 September and officers are working up the agreed arrangements for the 2017 Holocaust Day to ensure that the commemorations reflect our need to show respect and educate future generations.

### Question from Councillor Woodley to the Leader of the Council

### **Question**

Is the leader still intent on severing its membership of the LGA?

### **Answer**

We made it very clear that we will give notice to the LGA that we will withdraw our membership and this will all be part of the budget process.

# **Question from Cllr Butler to the Executive Councillor for Housing, Planning and Public Protection Services**

In view of the amount of new flats along Victoria Avenue, together with the large building project at Priory Park, and many other small building works throughout Southend. Would the Portfolio Holder kindly advise the council what precautions will be taken to improve the poor air quality which will prevail along the A127 which is the main corridor in and out of the town?

# **Answer**

The Council undertakes air quality monitoring across the whole of the town, including Victoria Avenue. There are currently no reported exceedances, except around The Bell junction where the Air Quality Management Area is being declared. Where there are proposed road improvements, the Council undertakes modelling of the schemes to ensure that the air quality is not adversely affected by the new road schemes. Where there are large developments air quality assessments are usually required as part of the planning process.